



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_13427
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-sickling and antibacterial activities of Some Extracts from <i>Gardenia ternifolia</i> subsp. <i>jovis-tonantis</i> (Welw.) Verdc. (Rubiaceae) and <i>Uapaca heudelotii</i> Baill (Phyllanthaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Plant material collection and identification. The authors have to include the Vouchers number. In 3.2. Antisickling activity. Line 154 and 155 said: ... <i>Uapaca heudelotii</i>, 80% (for organic acids extract of <i>Uapaca heudelotii</i>), 68% (for anthocyanins extract of <i>Gardenia ternifolia</i>) and 72% (for organic acids extract of <i>Gardenia ternifolia</i>) as it can be seen on the figure 2.... Has to say: ... <i>U. heudelotii</i>, 80% (for organic acids extract of <i>U. heudelotii</i>), 68% (for anthocyanins extract of <i>G. ternifolia</i>) and 72% (for organic acids extract of <i>G. ternifolia</i>) as it can be seen on the figure 2.... Line 209 and 211 said: <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> is the bacteria Has to say: ... <i>S.aureus</i> is the bacteria The title of Table 1 should be at the top of the table. The agar diffusion method is a qualitative test only indicates whether there is activity, so you cannot discuss bacterial sensitivity. I think it would be appropriate to speak only the	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	percentage of activity (average number of strains that showed inhibition zones)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tzasna Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Laboratorio de Farmacognosia, UBIPRO. FES Iztacala, UNAM. Mexico