SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAMPS_16185**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Detection of and adjustment for multiple unmeasured confounding variables in logistic regression by Bayesian structural equation modeling**
Type of the Article	**Method Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Line 23: Matching in case control studies does not aim to control for confounding by matching factors, in fact it may actually introduce confounding in some cases. Please rephrase.	The phrase "in case control studies" was removed.
Minor REVISION comments	1) Delete "In conclusion," from the Conclusion section as it is redundant. 2) "outcomes" misspelled line 180	Done. Corrected.
Optional/General comments	The paper is considered timely and the manuscript well-written and easy to follow.	Thanks.