



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_16393
Title of the Manuscript:	Safety Evaluation of Giant African land Snails (<i>Achachatina marginata</i>) Haemolymph on Hematological and Biochemical Parameters of Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Name of object of study – <i>Archachatina</i> was wrongly spelt <i>Achachatina</i> all through the manuscript 2. There should be space between a value and its unit; 4 ml/kg not 4ml/kg, they are two separate words 3. Dose is simple expressed as ml/kg without adding “body weight” 4. Days of the experiment need to be defined, what is day 0? Tables Legend-Why is “parameter” singularly displayed in capital letters all through? Legends should be expressed clearly. Table 1-parameter GRA written as GRAN , no key to RDW(FL) and method determined PCV without displaying its result. Comparing for significance, should you compare along the column or along the row? This applies to all the tables. Titles & legends to tables 2-5 to be amended. I am uncomfortable with the stated effective doses of 2 and 4 ml/kg. I have personally carried out some work on this same hemolymph using 100 – 300ml/kg though through the oral route as opposite to the i.p	We have effected all the corrections. The dose 2ml & 4ml/kg were derived from literature. Eg Jummai and Okoli (2013) reported therapeutic activity of the haemolymph at dose of 2ml & 4ml/kg. Fred-Jaiyesimi and Awobajo Olaide have also reported the BIOCHEMICAL AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL EFFECT OF <i>ACHACHATINA MARGINATA</i> at a dose of 1ml/kg



SDI Review Form 1.6

	route. However, patients/users usually adopt the oral route; I very much doubt if this 2 and 4 ml/kg will give any effect when administered orally. This is the main reservation I have against this work.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	These are highlighted in red/ green within the manuscript	Noted and effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	An interesting and in-depth research work. It would have been comprehensive had the micrograph of the studied organs done and displayed to buttress expressed result.	