



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_18117
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental evaluation of analgesic and antioxidant effects of hydromethanolic extract of <i>Dioscorea dumetorum</i> tuber.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are some comments on this article: <u>ABSTRACT:</u> At line-10, "The antinociceptive of" should be corrected to " The antinociceptive effects of". At line-17, "compared to the negative" should be corrected to "compared to the negative controls". <u>INTRODUCTION:</u> At line-28, Introduction should be corrected to INTRODUCTION. At line-48, "some compound" should be corrected to "some compounds". At line-54, "to validate it uses" should be corrected to "to validate its uses". <u>MATERIALS AND METHODS:</u> At line-72, the strain of albino rats and mice should be mentioned. At line-78, saw should be deleted. At line-118, "(10mg kg) per oral" should be replaced	Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Wistar Done Corrected (coloured yellow)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	with "orally". At line-119, intraperitoneal should be corrected to intraperitoneally. <u>Statistical Analysis:</u> -The name of the computer program used for statistical analysis and its version (e.g. SPSS, version 17) should be mentioned. <u>RESULTS:</u> At line-130, Results should be corrected to RESULTS. At line-147, "compared to the negative" should be corrected to "compared to the negative controls". At line-152, "4µg/ml" should be corrected to "400 µg/ml". <u>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:</u> At line-177, "(adedepa and orherhe, 2013)" should be replaced with "[18]". At line-203, "andMohan" should be corrected to "and Mohan". <u>REFERENCES:</u> At line-227, "2010; 10, 2956-66" should be corrected to "2010; 10: 2956-66.".	Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Done Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Done Done Corrected (coloured yellow)
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	At line-238, "2012; 1(2)026-030" should be corrected to "2012; 1(2): 26-30". At line-253, "with senescence accelerated" should be corrected to "with accelerated senescence". At line-292, "2003; 110, 255-8" should be corrected to "2003; 110: 255-258". Ethical Issue: Yes and were mentioned in the manuscript.	Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Corrected (coloured yellow) Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	