



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_16035
Title of the Manuscript:	HISTOLOGICAL AND HISTOCHEMICAL EVALUATION OF ANTI-CADMIUM TOXICITY EFFECTS OF MORINGA OLEIFERA SEED OIL AND ANACARDIUM OCCIDENTALE NUT OIL IN THE HIPPOCAMPUS OF JUVENILE MALE WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract should be revised. 2. Mention full authority of plants when used for first time. The botanical names should be in italic. 3. There are seven groups not four as mentioned. 4. Rephrase the sentence "Group A, Group B and Group C animals were given 3ml per kg body weight of" 5. How much yield of oil from both plant material? 6. What is the basis of dose selection? Moreover three is no reference provided in manuscript regarding methodology of experiment. 7. No such data are provided regarding oxidative enzyme or immunohistochemical in the manuscript so remove this from material and method section. 8. Why the blood glucose levels were measured. 9. Author should include some more references	Noted ... and effected Correction has been effected Correction has been effected Correction has been effected Not specifically recorded in the context of this particular investigation and its scope An established safe and sub-lethal those, confirmed through pilot study and calculated bearing in mind the equivalent average human consumption volume by weight Correction has been effected Correction has been effected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	in discussion section. 10. References: References are out of format and old. Uniformity must be ensured in all the references. It should be made strictly as per Instructions to Authors.	Correction has been effected Correction has been effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Revised full manuscript for typing errors.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		