



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_16310
Title of the Manuscript:	Positive Anti-Cadmium Poisoning Anti-Oxidant Effects of Moringa Seed Oil and Cashew Nut Oil in Juvenile Wistar Rats Hippocampus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Language and grammar mistakes in abstract and discussion. Ex. line 7, 10,13, 33, 126, 134, 139,140,141 Abstract is very long especially part of experimental groups' line13-21. -Write the aim of the work at the end of the introduction. -Update the reference: lines156, 158, 160,161, 165,168,172, 189, 193, 189. - All references are not the same manner. -Material and Methods: name of the biochemical parameters must mention. -Where are the comments on the results? -Title of tables must rewrite. The determine biochemical parameters not enough	Suggestion has been considered and correction is effected Suggestion has been considered and correction is effected Suggestion has been considered and correction is effected Suggestion has been considered and correction is effected Suggestion has been considered and correction is effected Suggestion has been considered and correction is effected Results now have overview comments Titles are being suitably modified



SDI Review Form 1.6

	to prove the aim of the work. -Also, biochemical assays not enough to prove the aim, if the researcher can make histological analysis and\ or molecular analysis.	The report is within the scope of this piece of article as it is in the research design; aim is being modified to fit the scope; future reports will include other methods of validating the entire goals as <i>overcrowding</i> of methods might introduce ambiguity.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		