



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_18138
Title of the Manuscript:	Ex vivo antiplasmodial activity of plant extracts used in traditional medicine in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Few spelling mistakes: standardize I think you meant standardized (40).! Amodiaquine was used as the standard antimalarial drug, it sounds better. (91) It is important to define which way you want to present your references in the text and in the reference list. Either you use the author's surname for example: Okombo <i>et al.</i>, 2013 or you should just use the number system to refer to your references eg: [20]. You must check with the journal that you are submitting your paper to (Journal of advances in medical and pharmaceutical sciences) how they usually cite references in the text and in the reference list and you should follow their criteria. The citation of the references should be uniform throughout the text. On table 2, you should highlight the best values that you got with your methanolic extract by using bold. During the Results/Discussion (129) there should be a phrase explaining why the best results were obtained with methanol extracts? Is it because the methanol extracts are more pure than the others?????What is your opinion?	You can see the explanation on reviewed document at line 174
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The strains that you used are they laboratory strains? Where are they from? Did you buy the isolates from a	Field Isolates (see line 80 – 83 on revised document)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	company or another laboratory or are they field isolates. Are they 3D7 and Dd2 <i>P.falciparum</i> strains. You must specify what strains you are working with and their origin. Special attention should be paid to the citation of the references in the text and in the reference list.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		