



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_18361
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute and Subacute Toxicological Assessment of the Aqueous Extract of the Aerial Parts of <i>Eremomastax speciosa</i> (Acanthaceae) in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) DISCUSSION: Authors should explore the possibility of comparing their results with previous studies in the literature on same plant. (2) INTRODUCTION: Authors should be more explicit on the use of the leaves and stem in ethnomedicine (Are the aerial parts ie leaves and stem used in the treatment of same ailments?). This should be clearly stated.	(1) DISCUSSION: After comprehensive literature search, we find no previous works on the TOXICOLOGICAL study of the plant to enable us to compare results. Comparison involving antianemic activity has been done with reference No 8 on “Its widely-claimed anti-anemic activity experimentally demonstrated by authors [8] ” See highlight in Discussion. (2) The expression “aerial parts” is used here to represent that the “leaves and petioles” were harvested. The main stem is NOT included. We have now specified this in the introduction in line with the reviewer’s request (see last line of Introduction, and first line of section 2.2.1, in Yellow)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		