



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_18805
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOACTIVITY AND PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF NIGERIAN MEDICINAL PLANTS GROWING IN ONDO AND EKITI STATE AGAINST BACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM PEDIATRICS HOSPITAL.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<u>The text must be carefully revised.</u> Names of species, spaces between words, references must be corrected. "grams" should be replaced by "g". references list should be better formatted. At line 277 – 279, "<u>high</u> antibacterial activity between 10 and 19 mg/ml" and "<u>low</u> antibacterial activity between 6 and 12 mg/ml", must be revised. These values are too high. It would be interesting the determination of MIC and MBC by broth dilution technique.	-corrected -corrected 10 and 19mg/ml, and 6 and 12mg/ml, this is an original research work, result can't be altered. -I didn't perform the MIC and MBC test. during the course of this research work. and result cant be falsified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		