



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_19036
Title of the Manuscript:	Pilot study of photoallergic reactions to UV filters among dental professionals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper presents scientific good idea to To evaluate the incidence of photo-allergic reactions to selected UV-filters among dental Professionals and dental patients. Other subjects were needed to confirm the results Plagiarism Issue: 130 matches from 29 sources, of which 29 are online sources. Plagiarism level: 26.7% documents have been checked due to similarities, but seem to be sufficiently different.	Thanks to the Reviewer for understanding and evaluating the scientific idea of the presented in our paper study. We do agree; in rows 209-210 is stated that ".... need further work to validate reliability." We added the phrase "need further work with more subjects photopatch tested to validate the reliability of the results" – marked in yellow. We do declare that the presented results are absolutely new, original and unpublished elsewhere. Concerning many of matches they are single phrases from publications written by OUR research team.
Minor REVISION comments	No comment	
Optional/General comments		