



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_19223
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL USE AND COMPLICATIONS OF PERIPHERAL VENOUS CANNULAE AMONG ADULT PATIENTS IN A NIGERIAN TERTIARY HOSPITAL, BENIN CITY: A PILOT STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical Issue: Yes use of Humans as subjects for the study	The subjects were duly counselled about the intended study before each data collection. Data were only collected from subjects who gave consent. The study protocol neither included collection of blood nor any other body specimen.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article is very okay. However, statistical comparison is omitted that will show variations with similar study. Test of significance is very relevant here	Other comments noted with thanks