



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_21386
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial properties of leaf extracts of Moringa oleifera Lam. growing in Sudan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Explain the reasons to work with chloramphenicol and not with any other antibiotic?? The strains were resistant to chloramphenicol? The statistical analysis is missing. I suggest a phytochemical screening to complement and improve your work. The colorimetric reactions would be useful. Improve Table 1 and Figure 1. Include the DMSO and Chloramphenicol for comparisons.... What was the yield of each extract? Is there a good possibility to use the M. oleifera as a source of those antibiotic properties????	Chloramphenicol is considered as a positive control, where strains recorder high sensitivity. It is tabulated in table 1. An explanation was added (line 74). Statistical analysis regarding Chloramphenicol is not missing, plz see table 1. I agree, This study is separated into two papers, 1-screening for antibacterial , 2-phytochemical study. Future study regarding fractionation is hoped to be done. That is why this part will be published under (Short Research Articles). DMSO results were included in table 1. Regarding the yield of ech extract, it is important point(see photo showing the yield of 4 extracts)  But unfortunately, the chemists did not



SDI Review Form 1.6

		provide me and they will not!. The research group was split up!. Can we ignore it since it is just screening? We can change the title to : Preliminary antibacterial assessment of leaf extracts of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam. growing in Sudan. Plz, let me know! Important! I was taken this photo showing the inhibition zones of different 4 extracts, if you think it may add value in the paper please let me know: 
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Improve the legends in the Fig. 1 Please... check your English before submitting a manuscript. Remember that as a rule, the scientific name must be written in cursives.... The first time they appear in the text must be complete including the author.... and subsequently they should be abbreviated.... You wrote Muller Hinton Agar.... Is the same that Mueller Hinton Agar...? AND PLEASE... WRITE CORRECTLY THE NAME OF THE PLANT UNDER STUDY.... Moringa oleifera... not Morenga oleifera....this mistake was repeated several times...	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		