



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_21386
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial properties of leaf extracts of Moringa oleifera Lam. growing in Sudan
Type of Article	Short Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The statistical analysis is missing. Media $\pm$ SEM is not enough to designate if one treatment has significant differences compared with other. To compare treatments, establish variation and homogeneity you need a statistical analysis like ANOVA and Tukey test for example. I consider that the data shown in Table 1 are indicative but not enough to establish differences. In the feedback file you remark in yellow “An explanation was added (line 74)” but when is revised the manuscript file I could not find such explanation in line 74. What do you mean with plz? You can include the images of the Petri dishes to illustrate the inhibitory effect... but is not mandatory. You continue with the mistake on the scientific name: you wrote <i>Morenga oleifera</i> and <i>Moringa olifera</i>, I recommend an exhaustive revision before submitting the manuscript again. The caption in the Figure is missing. I found other minor details, were signaled.	Yes, it is just screening with limited data, only 3 replicates. <u>Many published papers</u> in screening of antimicrobial activities did not rely on such statistical analysis. As the reviewer said it is inductive data. Regarding (Line 74); sorry it is 78 !, where I explain that the chloramphenicol is considered as positive control. Plz= please, ... sorry for abbreviation! Image included. Mistakes corrected. The figure deleted (Par-graph) Thanks a lot