



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_21743
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of Phytochemical, Pharmacological and Toxicological Profile of Stereospermum kunthianum
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting but authors would require the followings: i. Prepare the manuscript in accordance with the style of the journal ii. Use SI unit iii. Number all compounds both within the manuscript and in the figures iv. Use proper style of referencing in accordance with the dictate of the journal	Manuscript has been prepared in accordance with the style of the journal. Please, I disagree. The units used are standard units. OK, but has resulted in change of label of all figures. The referencing style has been corrected to that which is recommended by the journal.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As indicated in the pdf.	Corrections indicated on the pdf have been done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		