SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAMPS_18500**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparison of serum magnesium levels in Pre-eclamptic and Normotensive pregnant Nigerian women**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The idea and rationale are good. Sample size and the rest of methodology OK.** **Why PE was only considered if proteinuria was 2+ or greater and not with only 1+?**	
Minor REVISION comments	I believe a native English speaker should review the text.	
Optional/General comments	I don´t see the reason of exposing educational level.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Augusto Henriques Fulgêncio Brandão***
Department, University & Country	***Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil***