



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                     |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>                                                                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMPS_18742                                                                                                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Detection of Extended Spectrum $\beta$ -Lactamase Producing Escherichia coli in Neonatal Unit of A Tertiary Health Institution, South-South Nigeria |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                                           |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                           | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comment |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments     | <p>Some corrections to the text is needed prior to publication.</p> <p><b><u>ABSTRACT</u></b><br/>The Abstract is satisfactory and there are only few grammar corrections required (ie. insertion of "and" in the appropriate place).</p> <p><b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b><br/>There are grammar corrections needed in the Discussion. The authors should re-scan the text for grammar and sentence corrections.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments   | <p><b><u>MATERIALS AND METHODS</u></b> is satisfactory.</p> <p>The TABLES 1, 2, 3, and 4 are easily interpretable, support the DISCUSSION and present very useful information for clinicians. Useful information is presented in these TABLES.</p> <p>There are 22 references. The references are useful and support the DISCUSSION of the study.</p>                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                      |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Ronald Bartzatt</i></b>                                   |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>Chemistry Department, University of Nebraska, USA</i></b> |