



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_18805
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOACTIVITY AND PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF NIGERIAN MEDICINAL PLANTS GROWING IN ONDO AND EKITI STATE AGAINST BACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM PEDIATRICS HOSPITAL.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Language skill is poor. Abstract is a series of grammatical/format errors. Introduction has wrong style; it does not give a state of the art and explains the reason for the study. The result section consists only of a series of Tables without any text, at least connecting text is required. Table 9 contains test results not explained in "Method section". The discussion talks about concentrations not given in the Tables 2-ff. The results are given in 20, 40, 60 mg/l, results of 6-12, 7-10 mg/l are discussed??? Discussion introduces several new topics (comparison of microbiological methods). Conclusion is unclear due to poor language skill.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introduction too long, many repetitions, literature style and not natural science style. Table 1 belongs to Material/Methods section. Plant and Microorganisms: Correct Name where applicable (its 2 words, its pneumonia,...) Line 215-217 unclear. How are pure cultures prepared from stool samples?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Delete last sentence of Abstract	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thomas Eidenberger
Department, University & Country	Dept. Bio- and Environmental Technology, Univ. of Applied Sciences Upper Austria, Austria