



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_19251
Title of the Manuscript:	THE OUTCOMES OF URETHROPLASTY FOR HYPOSPADIAS REPAIR IN M. DJAMIL HOSPITAL, PADANG, INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors present their experience with hypospadias repair in 54 patients. In the abstract the type of technique employed must be taken of the results and placed in materials and methods. Complications in Table 3 should be stratified by type of technique. In Table 4 postoperative complications with each technique must be specified. In the last paragraph of the discussion the authors show the literature numbers and then claim that their results are similar but do not show their numbers. Ethical Issue: Lack authorization from the ethics committee of M. Djamil Hospital	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio Augusto Ornellas
Department, University & Country	Department of Urology, Hospital Mário Kröeff and Brazilian National Institute of Cancer, Brazil