SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_20379**
Title of the Manuscript:	**QSAR study of Nickel-Schiff base complexes as anti-bacterial agents against Staphylococcus aureus**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I did not recommend the publication of JAMPS-20379 by your reputed Journal as its Aims, Methodology, Results and Conclusion are similar to JAMPS-20340 (Reviwed by me), only one or two words has been changed. I am mainly concerned with issue of plagiarism. Few different Ni Schiff base complexes and fungal or bacterial strain are not enough to publish the paper. I am attaching both papers highlighted the representative portions with YELLOW colour.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**