SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAMPS_18117**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Experimental evaluation of analgesic and antioxidant effects of hydromethanolic extract of Dioscorea dumetorum tuber.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Title:** • **Title is accurately described the content of the paper.** **Abstract:** • **The "Abstract" section stated the principal objectives and summarized the results obtained and principal conclusions.** • **Line 21 and 22: In italics: "*D.dumetorum* "instead of "D.dumetorum".** **Introduction:** • **In this section the author(s) describe clearly the main issue of the study. It also includes the aim of the work.** • **Line38: delete "content".** • **Line 39, 40: Starting with small letters: fatty acids, phenols, sterols, aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, hydrocarbons, esters, amines and alkaloid.** • **Line 48: "some compounds" instead of "some compound".** • **Line 51: "the analgesic property" instead of "the analgesic**	

	proper". **Materials & Methods:** • **Description of experimental design was made clearly.** • **Line 62: "into smaller pieces" instead of "into smaller piece".** • **Line 66: delete "to".** • **Line 67: [DDE extract: Line 67; OECD: Line 86]: abbreviation should be written in full the first time it is used in the manuscript.** **Results:** • **The results are presented in an orderly and logical sequence and are interpreted in the light what already known about the subject of the investigation, however more information should be added to correlate the obtained results in this manuscript with the corresponding or related studies in the point under investigation supported by the corresponding references..** • **Line 151: [AA]: abbreviation should be written in full the first time it is used in the manuscript.** • **Line 152: correct: "The increase in concentration from 25µg/ ml to 4 µg/ ml ???".** • **Line 169: Fig 1 should be revised for**	

	symbols and missing data in the X axis (horizontal scale) and Y axis (Vertical scale). **Discussion & Conclusion:** • **The results obtained should be discussed in details with the corresponding results (or closely related results) reported by other investigators supported by the corresponding references.** • **Line 177: "(Adedapa and Orherhe, 2013)" instead of "(adedepa and orherhe, 2013)": see Line 282.** **References:** • **References are presented in a concise scientific form.** •	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	***Egypt***