



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JCTI_15723
Title of the Manuscript:	Intracranial hemangiopericytoma in a 15 year-old child: neuroimaging features and management therapy.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article should be revised on the following points. 1. The exact size of the tumour is not mentioned anywhere in the article either radiologically or in the gross examination. 2. The gross description of the resected specimen is not appropriate, whether specimen received intact or in piecemeal is not mentioned. No comment has been made about whether dura is present or not. 3. In the microscopic description, it is written "smear shows" and the description is like of a cytology but mentioned as histology. 4. Histological Description of the tumour must be given properly including comment of dural invasion. 5. In histology figures Stain and magnification not mentioned. 6. In Discussion nothing differential diagnosis of the lesion must be discussed in respect to radiological and pathological point of view.	1- The tumour measures: 12 x 8 cm 2 – the specimen was received in piecemeal without dura-mater. However, tumor presented a dural attachment on MRI and dural invasion was noticed during surgical procedure. 3 – the term "histologically" was deleted 5- figures Stain and magnification are not available 6- we think that radiological differential diagnosis was posed with meningioma, and with solitary fibrous tumor according to histopathology and Immuno-histochemistry



SDI Review Form 1.6

	7. Reference 33 and 34 are same, it should be revised.	7- The effect of adjuvant radiotherapy the treatment of HPR is discussed. Several studies have shown that that postoperative radiotherapy decreases the local recurrence rate (28, 33, 34) and improved survival outcome (34) . However, these results are not accepted by all authors. Indeed, HPR may be a relatively radioresistant lesion and the addition of postoperative radiation does not confer a survival benefit compared with gross-total resection (30).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Grammatical errors are present. 2. Gross picture of the specimen if available.	2- Gross picture of the specimen is not available.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a well written case report of intracranial haemangiopericytoma in a child, with details discussion on radiological and management aspect.	