



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JCTI_15723
Title of the Manuscript:	Intracranial hemangiopericytoma in a 15 year-old child: neuroimaging features and management therapy.
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I thank the authors for the comments and corrections. Still some points require further work: 1. The abstract is still not structured (introduction, methods, results and discussion) and still suffers grammatical mistakes. 2. The sentence "15 year-old female, without medical history" is inappropriate. The patient may have no significant past medical history, but she has, by default a 15 years of medical history.... 3. There was no description of the systemic presentation. Were there systemic metastatic deposits on presentation? How were these investigated? 4. The authors should discuss the role of radiosurgery, especially when describing HPR as radioresistant and questioning the role of XRT.	1- Abstract structure is made (introduction, clinical presentation, conclusion). 2- "without medical history" is deleted. 3- Line 97: No metastases were found on body scan. 4- Lines 160-163: Stereotactic radiosurgery may be an effective therapeutic mean to treat recurrent intracranial HPR in adult after surgical resection followed or not by radiotherapy (27, 35). Given the rarity of HPR in children, using stereotactic radiosurgery has not yet been worked out. 27. Payne BR, Prasad D, Steiner M, Steiner L. Gamma surgery for hemangiopericytomas. <i>Acta Neurochir (Wien)</i>. 2000;142(5):527-36. 35. Kim JW, Kim DG, Chung HT, Paek SH, Kim YH, Han JH, et al. Gamma Knife stereotactic radiosurgery for intracranial hemangiopericytomas. <i>J Neurooncol</i>. 2010;99(1):115-22. 5- Grammatical corrections were made.
5. The text needs some grammar proofing	