



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JCTI_15874
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTION OF EBV AND HPV IN ORAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA AND AMELOBLASTOMA: REAL TIME POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I appreciate the authors to have taken efforts in taking adequate efforts in correcting few areas as mentioned by us in your study. However the following points have still not been taken into consideration. <u>ABSTRACT</u> Correlation of viral DNA with aggressiveness using fluorescence need to mentioned?? - Has not been mentioned <u>MANUSCRIPT</u> Various studies have been conducted individually on the detection of HPV & EBV in OSCC & AB, However, in comparison to them already done, it would be better if the sample size is corrected to bring out accuracy. Hence increase the sample size and run the samples through the same cycle to redefine your results?? – There was no increase in sample size and redefining results in the study Criteria for stratification of the OSCC & AB need to be standardized equally as this is not appreciable on random division to come to an idealistic results?? Sample distribution remains the same with no standardization/equality made Fig 1 & 2 remain unclear?? Uncorrected. Resolution remained the same. To be correlated with printer for clarity	Mentioned We can not increase the sample size as our fund and kits finished . Mentioned Figure 1&2 taken from the lightCycler insturment with maximum resolution