



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_19696
Title of the Manuscript:	A retrospective study of 137 dysplastic nevi: Are a personal history of melanoma and other histopathological factors associated with high-grade cytologic atypia?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This is a well-written paper and provides a wealth of pathologic data, inclusive of epidemiologic factors, for dysplastic nevi and association with malignant melanoma. The paper would be more explicit if excellent photographs of the several stages of dysplastic nevi were provided. The authors admit to limited numbers but I felt as though the detail compensated for the numbers problem.</p> <p>Clinicians pay limited attention to dysplastic nevi and this will be certainly helpful for their recognition and understanding.</p> <p>I would accept this paper as there is a dire need for more attention from a clinical-pathology point of reference relevant to this subject.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, Thank you very much for your helps and kind comments.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Would be more explicit if the authors were to include photos/illustrations.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		