



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	2015_JCTI_16165
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuroblastoma: Current Imaging and Therapeutics
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is concise and has dealt extensively on the current imaging and therapeutics. Abstract: Line 5 consider changing the statement “the most common extracranial solid tumor” to the most common solid abdominal tumor to give credence to the commonest site of occurrence Introduction: Adequate but not adequately referenced 2.1 Clinical presentation line 58. The most common clinical symptom is abdominal mass which maybe painless before it became painful 2.2 Etiology Line 60: the statement that most physicians believe it is due to accidental cell growth is not clear needs clarification 3.2 MIBG SCAN Line 251. When MIBG is used “I MIBG is generally preferred to I MIBG” is repeated. Do you want to compare I-123 MIBG and I-131 MIBG clarify. Conclusion: Adequate	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria