



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_19169
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of cardiac biomarkers in detection of cardiomyopathy induced by cardiotoxic chemotherapeutic agents
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting study and their conclusions I think will be helpful to your readers. However there are a lot of problems with their writing to the point that is difficult to understand what they are really mean. Few areas that I think they should be written again are: 1) From the abstract the background (lines 6-11) and the conclusion (lines 28-30) 2) Introduction (lines 60-62) Discussion (lines 223-231)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Skoularigis
Department, University & Country	Cardiology Department, University of Thessaly, Greece