



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_19631
Title of the Manuscript:	Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Kidney and Renal Pelvis: A Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract and summary, the sentences in page no. 13, 14 & 632-634 needs corrections. The sentence "only two cases of primary squamous cell carcinoma....." needs changes to "only three cases" as recently one case with titled "Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Kidney and Its Prognosis: A Case Report and Review of the Literature" published in journal of case reports in urology in 2015. The sentence in introduction with page no. 49 (twenty eight references were found suitable) needs correction to "twenty nine references" by adding one reference more from the above case report. There is no comments regarding the role of chemotherapy or radiotherapy in the literature review part which may be added.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Pages : 125-170 In the Literature Review part, the description part of the headings Computed tomography (CT) scan and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) are almost same. Therefore, both the parts can be combined to one part. Also the description part of the headings CT scan of the thorax and MRI scan of the thorax are almost same and can be changed to one part. Sentences with page no. 26, 92, 214, 273, 300, 330, 393, 394, 538, 554, 555, 573, 574, 635, 636, 659, 660 needs grammatical corrections.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall the collection of data and the way of writing the review article is very good. But it requires minor corrections as mentioned above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tapan Kumar Sahoo
Department, University & Country	Department of Radiation Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, India