



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_20417
Title of the Manuscript:	Prognostic Value of KRAS Mutations in Patients with Colorectal Cancer: South Egypt Cancer Institute Experience
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting, well written and documented. However, there are some minor points to be improved. The article should have an English revision. Abstract: - The methodology cited in the summary (PCR / single strand conformation polymorphism sequencing) is not in accordance with the methodology described in the Methodology section (PNA Clamp Technique for Detecting a Ki-ras2 Mutation). Methodology: - Please correct: RT-PCT for Real Time PCR (RT means reverse transcriptase and not Real Time). - Please correct the sentence: Real Time PCR was performed on LigtCycler instrument (Roche, Germany) using PNA Clamp Technique for Detecting a Ki-ras2 Mutation (Roche). - Which the thickness of the histological section used for DNA extraction? - Wich DNA concentration was used in the PCR?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Brazil