



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_JGEESI_14375
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of meteorological drought index for drought assessment and mapping in Lorestan province in Iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The grammar is in need of substantial revision. This reviewer suggestions asking an English speaking colleague for help. I believe this is the largest barrier to publication of this article This reviewer has done this sort of work before for authors, but then I could no longer be a reviewer for this article. Also, the text in places is too informal (e.g. page 13 "stinking weatland). There are places where English units are mixed with metric, and where words are put together. This made the manuscript difficult to review. In spite of this, there is good material in the article and it can be published eventually.	Greetings and respect. This is to give students (phd) the opportunity to carry out reforms, and I'm very happy. I accept, your comment on the article .I send to a college professor, I hope my fix the language its good .
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References in the manuscript are missing dates and citation in the Reference section. Please correct this. Also, the dates used on references are not standard dates used. Please convert these.	I corrected Data and References
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper examines drought indicators and drought in the Lorestan Providence of Iran. The methods applied are simple and explained adequately. The figures and tables are neat and readable, and the results are appropriate given the analysis. Drought is an important topic and climate range is a regional issue. This reviewer usually takes the time to give suggestions with regard to the grammar. In this case the grammar needs a lot of help. I would like to see the work published, but unfortunately, at this time I must recommend major and substantial revision based on the grammar.	I corrected grammar with a college professor English , I hope was good.