SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2013_JSRR_5870**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A review on annatto dye extraction, analysis and processing – A Food Technology Perspective**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The review paper of "A review on annatto dye extraction, analysis and processing – A Food Technology Perspective " is a very good and interesting information available for the first time. The authors compiled many works of annatto that some times are unavailable for the scientific community and also for the annatto users. I think is interesting have this information accessible and updating. Also the authors give and alert of the advantages and inconvenient of the annatto extraction methods existing at the moment depending on the type of use made of the extract.	Thanks for the appreciation. As suggested, we have added few lines about the extractions methods advantage at the beginning of the downstream processing in Page 7 paragraph 2 (yellow makings) . In fact, the pros and cons of methods have been already mentioned to our best at respective places while explaining about the particular method. Also added the following lines in page 2 with an intention of giving more information: Some of the common synonyms are CI Natural Orange 4; CI 75120, Achiote, Annotta, Arnatta, Arnatto, Arnotta, Bija, Rocou, Roucou, Roucouyer, Roucoyer, Orlean, Orleanstraugh, Terre orellana, Beni-No-Ki, Urucu, Urucum, L. The CAS numbers were provided in page 4 para 2.
Minor REVISION comments	The authors need to review the manuscript in detail, there are some mistakes and tapping errors and format need to improve. Also I recommend to the authors considerer add to the ms. important references such as from	As most of these references pertains to molecular aspects which is beyond the scope of this review we have not included. But in view of reviewers comments, we honour

	Mercadante et al; Bouvier et al; and Rodriguez.-Avila et al See as follow Mercadante A, StecK A, Pfander H.1997. Isolation and identification of new apocarotenoids from Annatto (Bixa orellana) seeds. J Agric. Food Chem. 45: 1000-1004. Previeus authors have several publication. Rodríguez-Ávila et al 2011. **Journal of Experimental Botany.** 62: 5385-5395. Rodríguez-Ávila et al 2011. **Plant Molecular Biology Reporter** 29:43-50.	his opinion and accordingly included all these references in the text page 21-22 and also in references section (yellow highlight).
Optional/General comments		