SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Scientific Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2013_JSRR_5870**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A review on annatto dye extraction, analysis and processing – A Food Technology Perspective**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The subject of the manuscript is very interesting and this manucript could be published in Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. However, the manuscript must be rewritten paying attention to the grammar and correcting the numerous typos , before it can be considered for revision again.**	As suggested we have improved the manuscript quality by attending grammatical, typographical corrections along with punctuations. Some of the complex sentences were converted into simple form. In addition the following corrections also made on self evaluation and improvement aspect: • All the grammatical and typographical mistakes as marked in text were attended. • All the Abbreviations expanded at first instance in the revised manuscript. • In Page 9 line 2 the appropriate reference has been quoted. • As much information is not available on stability aspects, only the information relevant to prepared food formulations and stability

		studies pertaining to annatto at various temperatures (baking, frying etc) only mentioned. • In page 23 line 10-11 the implications of the quoted earlier studies was mentioned. • Uniform font style has been provided to references.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		