



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_20247
Title of the Manuscript:	TOWARDS A COGNITIVE GLIASCIENCE: A BRIEF CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article in my opinion is well written, we note that the author is well focused and well informed. The information contained in the manuscript is concise, accurate, manages to keep the reader's interest for all reading the article. The ideas are organized in such way that are understood easily and achieve its objective, which is to elevate the interest about the subject. At the end the reader ends up accepting his proposal.	I added a new section entitled "Morphogenesis and compared development of glia" to improve the clarity of the review. For the same reason, the section entitled "Computational models of glia functioning" has been enlarged.