



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_5543
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of eye care services among Ghanaian elderly population: Evidence from a peri-urban community in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-The results of the text does not say anything much new, but can be useful to draw attention to.	Authors agree with reviewer that the results are useful in drawing attention to the needs and utilisation of eye care among the emerging elderly population in Ghana and generally developing countries especially in Sub-Saharan Africa where much have not been done in the area.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-Check your text for spelling, grammatical errors and correct</p> <p>-Introduction section in the abstract should be removed</p> <p>-Proportions is unstable, need to be reviewed</p>	<p>Spelling, grammar editing and read proof done.</p> <p>Journal allows for the abstract to be written in different format, since the introduction and in the abstract is brief and useful in understanding the proceeding sections of the abstract, authors respectfully would like to maintain it.</p> <p>The proportional figures might have been missed typed, this have been reviewed as suggested</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>-Results section in the abstract should be rewrite.</p> <p>-Materials and Methods section in the Text is too long, should be shortened and re-write.</p> <p>-Results section in the text should be shortened</p> <p>-Discussion section in the text well-written. It is</p>	<p>This suggestion has largely been adhered to.</p> <p>Methods and results sections in the current form were modified to incorporated suggestions from previous reviews. As all parts are relevant, and the journal does not limit word count for such publications, authors respectively would like to keep the current format.</p> <p>Authors thank reviewer for the compliments.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	acceptable, even necessary to regulate content.	
--	--	--