

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Wed, Aug 7, 2013 at 3:15 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_OR_4127

I have gone through manuscript title on "**Retinal astrocytoma: a supportive finding in Tuberous sclerosis**" and other attachments provided by you for review. Please find attached my comments on the above manuscript.

Editor's Details:

Rajvardhan Azad

Dr. Rajendra Prasad Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi - 110 029, India

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.

Attached Part:

Review of manuscript titled '**Retinal astrocytoma: a supportive finding in Tuberous sclerosis - an experience from Nepal**'.

- 1) The manuscript adds nothing to the current body of literature since the ophthalmologic findings in TSC are well documented and an evaluation of the retina is already recommended as part of the diagnostic criteria and work-up of a patient with TSC.
- 2) Both cases already had 2 major systemic criteria satisfying the diagnosis of tuberous sclerosis complex and retinal finding supported the diagnosis.
- 3) Reference to line 34 needs to be added.

- 4) Fig 1a. Case 1 (line 140) right eye fundus shows a fourth retinal astrocytic lesion nasally as well which has not been pointed out.
- 5) Line 32 Change `non-retinal findings' to `non-retinal ophthalmic findings' as non-retinal findings may mean all systemic findings as well.
- 6) Line 58 word `respectively' has been used two times. The first one should be omitted.
- 7) Line 72 `in both eyes' should be added if the visual acuity was same in both eyes.
- 8) In case 1 echocardiography has been undertaken but no mention of the same in case 2.