**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal** |
| Manuscript Number: | **2013_OR_5877** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Cuckoo's Search Algorithm based Technique for Exudates Detection in Diabetic Retinopathy** |
| Type of the Article | **Research Paper** |

## General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **This manuscript described a new computational approach to detect exudates in fundus images using Cuckoo's algorithm. Results illustrated this method has a better accuracy compared with other methods. This study will be of interest to ophthalmology as automated image processing could serve as an objective e valuation of retinal disease condition.** | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | 1, The first paragraph of section 4 (Methodology) describes general concept of DR and significance of the proposed method. It is more appropriate in "Introduction" section.<br><br>2, line 24, Here is the first time CA is mentioned in the text, and it should spell out full name.<br><br>3, line 33, "In case," should be "In case of"<br><br>4, Fig. 2: I assume this image is derived from fundus image shown Fig. 1. If this is the case, please state in figure legend and in text.<br><br>5, Last figure should be "Fig. 3" Please correct both figure legend and in text. Image 1 looks the same as Fig. 2. That should also be stated. Why Image 1 is much darker compared with other images? The legend should be more descriptive. | |

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**