SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_OR_7073**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Perimetry comparisons for Octopus G Top and Dynamic programmes versus Humphrey 24-2 SITA fast and SITA standard programmes**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors suggested a good hypothesis. However, this reviewer recommends that the authors should make a more detailed discussion for applying their results to clinical situation. That is, how can we use and consider their results in clinical situation? Please clarify this point in discussion part.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please specify the program and statistical method for statistical analysis in the method part.	
Optional/General comments	This reviewer thinks that there is enough constructive material to warrant publication in this manuscript. Indeed, in the diagnosis of glaucoma, it is important to know the characteristics of perimetry and interpret the examination outcome. The author's work shows inter-perimetry difference, and suggests us to attention when interpreting the result outcome. Also this reviewer appreciates the experimental design and what the authors report here. Therefore, this reviewer thinks that the author's work is worth for encouraging the reader to peruse this study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sung Kun Chung**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Science, The Catholic University of Korea, College of Medicine, St. Mary's Hospital, South Korea**