



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7279
Title of the Manuscript:	The networked analysis of static visual field evaluation: A comparison between Medisoft and Humphrey software systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Interesting subject Remarkable effort in an important clinical field. Abstract – Conclusion and the final conclusion : Please add a note about little evidence of differences that may request further investigation at larger groups of patients. It may worth recommending to evaluate other protocols of primetry. This will be clearer in cases where the patient seen in different centres as the manuscript showed some variable interpretation of the patient's status at different stages and the tested systems. This can become a big deal if Humphrey at this specific patient showed changes that either overestimated by the Humphrey or underestimated by the medisoft or vice versa (to treat or no) Another point to consider that the results applies only on single protocol of the visual field statis Sita-fast 24-2 which is basically the gold standard for glaucoma patients; does this hypothesis change on little modifications if blue on yellow or white on white? Which is also interesting to know.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I suggest to add a simple table or figure in which both systems are compared in all variable and showing the differences as a summery the reader can keep in mind. An example to simplify the idea is down here <table border="1" style="margin: 10px auto; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="padding: 5px;">Variables</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Humphrey</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Glaucoma grade</th> <th style="padding: 5px;">Medisoft</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="4" style="padding: 5px;">SD</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">equal</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">0-1</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">equal</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">lower</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">higher</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">3</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">4</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3" style="padding: 5px;">MD</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">0-1</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">2</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">3</td> <td style="padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Variables	Humphrey	Glaucoma grade	Medisoft	SD	equal	0-1	equal	lower	2	higher		3			4		MD		0-1			2			3		
Variables	Humphrey	Glaucoma grade	Medisoft																										
SD	equal	0-1	equal																										
	lower	2	higher																										
		3																											
		4																											
MD		0-1																											
		2																											
		3																											

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sultan E. AL Zaaidi
Department, University & Country	Ophthalmology, Prince Sultan Medical Military City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia