



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_10334
Title of the Manuscript:	Osteogenic Osteosarcoma of the Orbit: a case report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This case report describes a 56 yo male with an orbital osteogenic sarcoma. The case is not unique and as such should include excellent imaging pre-surgically and surgically. No pre-surgical orbital imaging was included (would expect orbital ultrasound, MRI and/or CT). The surgical photograph should be improved to better delineate the tumor. The excised mass would also be beneficial to show grossly. Finally, the definitive management of the tumor should be described including the indications for the approach taken which appears to be further surgical debulking without adjunctive therapy.	Gross appearance of the tumor image has been added to support our article. We don't take patient's pre surgical orbital MR images because the patient was referred to another center for further treatment. The definitive management is still unclear, adequate surgical resection is the mainstay treatment. And we discuss this topic at the end of discussion.
	Minor editing for appropriate grammar is required.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		