



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_10573
Title of the Manuscript:	<u>ROCK INHIBITORS: FUTURE OF ANTI-GLAUCOMA MEDICATION???</u>
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	On line 32 it is better to use : . Indeed, references are not written in the same characters. Some of them are bold, some of them are underlined. It is better to use the same style according to the Author's guidelines of this journal.	Changes incorporated. Referencing done in Vancouver style and is now in a uniform style
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a quite original review article about a modern and actual topic.	