



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_10600
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Risk Factors for Development of Inpatient Exposure Keratitis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title should be modify. Suggestion- the work has been done so it's in order to put it thus Risk factors for the development of inpatient exposure keratopathy. The aim is to identify risk factors for the development the statement should be complete (health provider) The other part of the objective i.e. to make possible the development of improved educational tools for providers was not met and was not discuss	1. Agreed and title re-worded. 2. Steps to be taken for development of improved educational tools are outlined in last paragraph of the discussion section.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Vision is completely missing in the write up and it was emphasized by the author also it will be good if the site of ulcer is included. 2. Sociodemographic data like tribe, of what relevant? 3. Was it that only 9 cultures were done because only 9 result were available-6positive and 3 negative 4. According to author's conclusion, it will be nice to know how many patients developed serious sequelae from exposure.	1. The main goal of the study is to identify risk factors for inpatient exposure keratitis. As many of the patients were intubated / sedated at the time of evaluation, inclusion of vision at time of evaluation is not practical. 2. Ethnic/racial data is included so that providers may determine if this patient population is demographically similar to the patients whom they treat. 3. Since this is a retrospective review,



SDI Review Form 1.6

		performance of culture was according to the discretion of individual providers at the time of evaluation. 9 cultures were performed and results of the 9 are listed. 4. 9 patients developed corneal ulceration.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a operational research. A prospective kind will be better	Thank you for review and comments.