



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_10623
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of the CASQ1 gene SNP rs3838216 with ophthalmopathy in patients with thyroid autoimmunity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Is CASQ1 a keyword? 2. Please pay more attention to the right reference number and order in paper, such as you omit a sign of bracket to reference number 8 in line 24 and I could not find where is the reference number[13]?etc. 3. There is no note to show what is UER and should be careful to make Table 1 arrangement suitable for publication.	We are thanking the reviewer 2 for pointing out this point, we dully incorporated CASQ1 in as a key word in manuscript Apologies for our short sightedness, these are corrected in the manuscript UER = Upper Eyelid retraction , is explained in manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author has showed us that this is the first report showing the association of an orbital auto antigen gene polymorphism. Thank for your original work. But in general, the author should rearrange structure of this paper, especially introduction and discussion part, pay more attention to the object and meaning of the study, research status of CASQ1 gene at present and in the future. For endocrinologist, Smoking is a well known risk factor for development of GO and GH, but the author have not collected smoking information in this study, so I think the author should at least give us an explanation for this design defect.	Thank you for this valuable comment and appropriate comments are inserted in manuscript and shown in yellow. Unfortunately smoking data was not collected in the study. We highlighted this in method and discussion.