



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_10753
Title of the Manuscript:	Intravitreal Dexamethasone Implant Plus Prompt Grid Laser for Macular Edema Due to Retinal Vein Occlusion
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Should consider in the discussion the limitation of the study that included a small number of patients(19). 2- Please clarify in details the manufacture of both OCT and FFA. 3- Please clarify the criteria of macular oedema and reference. Please stress on outcome regarding intraocular pressure in the discussion with comparison to other studies.	1. We addressed this in line 253 2. We have added the details 3. The criteria for macular edema was $CMT \geq 300 \mu m$ as measured by SD-OCT, as mentioned in lines 97-98. We clarified that ME must have been clinically detectable and causing decreased VA, and we have added the reference which is the Geneva trial 4. We have already compared IOP results to the Geneva trial in lines 247-249
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please clarify in details the exclusion criteria.	The inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria are discussed in lines 95-112. We have added several more specific examples of conditions that would merit exclusion per your recommendation.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some typographical and language errors should be corrected.	There must have been some sort of processing error when we submitted the manuscript as the spacing was incorrect in numerous locations. These errors have been corrected.