



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_11758
Title of the Manuscript:	Macular thickness measurement via Heidelberg Spectralis SD-OCT in pediatric patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	a healthy reliable results for normative database. 3) There is no information about distribution about subjects (such as right/left eye or female /male) 4) The version of OCT software is not mentioned 5) In discussion section, differences between time domain and spectral domain OCT are explained too much. It will be better to give information about differences between healthy adults and children. As far as I know the results of macular thickness of pediatric patients in this article similar to adults values. 6) This kind of many studies have already published in literature. The study does not clearly states what was the need of present study. It may be added regarding the same. 7) If authors can clarify that this study adds some important information to the available	3- Materials and Methods section start with ` Sixty eyes of 30 patients (20 female, 10 male)...` 4- We added a new sentence to Materials and Methods for software. 5- We tried to talk about only pediatric patients. 6,7- There is not many study published for Spectralis SD-OCT for pediatric patients yet. Also the device has no normative database. We believed that more studies are needed for create better normative databases for devices.
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	literature, it can be considered. [Comments received from mail]	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		