SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_OR_11816**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Diplopia and strabismus in diabetics (Type II) and non diabetics in Yazd, Iran**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment:	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	This article studied the incidences of potential rare complications of type II diabetics, i.e., diplopia and strabismus, in Yazd, Iran, and, interestingly, they found that there was no statistically difference in frequency of diplopia and strabismus between diabetics and nondiabetics. I think that this negative data could mean something, had all the confounding factors, especially the age and other concurrent diseases, been vigorously controlled. In addition, since the target complications are so rare, I believe substantially more cases are needed to draw a reliable conclusion.	Thanks for your valuable advice. This study is the result of student thesis of Yazd Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Science.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		