**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | **2014_OR_12038** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **A Comparison of Accommodation Amplitudes of Three Different Acrylic Intraocular Lenses** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

## General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | Please explain how the objective,<br>dynamic measurement technique with R mode of Plusoptix CR03 device to measure accommodation?<br>Perhaps a summary description from manufactory with picture?<br>Please give more discussion on possible lens design reasons attribute the outcome of this study. | The explanation was put in to the material and methods section<br><br>The statement about the possible effect of lens design has been included to the last paragraph of the discussion |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |