



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_12162
Title of the Manuscript:	Presumed, adult-onset eosinophilic granuloma of the orbital roof showing spontaneous resolution
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-Spontaneous resolution of orbital Langerhans cell histiocytosis has been described in the literature previously. And you can add this reference. (Orbit. 2005 Mar;24(1):39-41. Biopsyconfirmed spontaneous resolution of orbital langerhans cell histiocytosis. Rajendram R1, Rose G, Luthert P, Plowman P, Pearson A.) 2- Is there any problem with the patient's eosinophils in blood tests? 3- Have you conduct systemic investigation to exclude involment of other organs?	This paper has been added in the reference list (Ref. 8) and a short comment about it has been included in the discussion (lines 104-105) There was no increase in blood eosinophils (please see line 44) Systemic investigation excluded involvement of other organs (please see line (please see line 55)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		