



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2014_OR_12301</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Topical steroids, HIV status, CD4 cells and corneal health- a case report</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Study</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>none</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1.Conclusion should be added below discussion</p> <p>2.A structure abstract composed of 3 parts ( aim, presentation of case, conclusion )is advised.</p> <p>3.A part called Consent should be added at the end of the manuscript to announce consent was taken from the parents of the children for publication of the case.</p> <p>4.Usually, there is a part called Authors' contributions at the beginning of the paper to declare each author's contribution to the work.</p> <p>Line 72-75, illustrations are advised to be list separately.</p>	<p>Done.</p> <p>The structure of abstract adopted is as recommended by the journal.</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>The current figure format was adopted to conserve print space. 'Respectively' has been added to make explanation clearer.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>This manuscript reported a case which indicate that depletion of CD4 cells caused by HIV might make cornea more susceptible to steroid effects. It is a valuable report and I think it is basically acceptable as an article in Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal.</p> <p>However, the points in <i>Minor RVISION comments</i> have to be revised before publication.</p>	