



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_12301
Title of the Manuscript:	Topical steroids, HIV status, CD4 cells and corneal health- a case report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NIL	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 7- Add CD4 status in abstract</p> <p>Line 39- Add diagnosis if available or state whether all this was symptomatic treatment.</p> <p>Line 49- is this 10 months before presentation to the authors or initial presentation with eye problem</p> <p>Line 50- Please add HIV related information about the patient such as; baseline CD4 (+/- CD4 percent), CD4 progress on treatment, viral loads. Was a CD4 of 200 10 months on treatment or the baseline CD4?</p> <p>Line 62- correct swap → swab and taking → taken</p> <p>Line 90- Add herpes simplex virus as a common aetiology in HIV patients for a possible HSV Keratitis.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Diagnosis was not available as patient did not know his diagnosis.</p> <p>10 months before presenting to our facility. This has been reframed.</p> <p>This information was not available.</p> <p>done</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	NIL	