



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_13281
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary Comparison of Ocular and Developmental Outcomes in Laser- and Bevacizumab-Treated Infants with Retinopathy of Prematurity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Just 4 questions: 1) How many doctors made the diagnosis of ROP? 2) Do they use a method to be sure of doing the same diagnosis? 3) Did you use a Retcam to do the diagnosis? 4) You mention that you use the ET ROP guideline to make the diagnosis and give the treatment: can you give the mean of the weeks after the birthday between the 2 groups in which the diagnosis was made and confirm if there is a statistical difference?	Response: Thank you for reviewing our paper. Here are the answers to your questions: 1) These patients were from two independent physicians. Normally, we exam the patients independently. We discuss with each other when we think the patient needs treatment. When there was question regarding the treatment, we will have confirmation exam by a second doctor. 2) Yes, they use the same diagnosis method. We followed the ROP screening guidelines published in 2006 and 2013, we use the standard international classification of ROP. According to these guidelines, we have established standard treatment and follow up protocols in the department. 3) We use Retcam picture only for confirmation diagnosis among physicians when they are not available in the hospital at the same time. We don't routinely use Retcam for diagnosis. 4) Mean of the weeks between birth day and time of treatment: IVB: 11.7, laser 12.2 p=0.7
	I think that you mention that this is a case series study because is a pilot study. To me, this is a cohort, completely.	We agree. We have made changes in the manuscript, page 3, line 66. Thank you very much for the great suggestion.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		