



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_13780
Title of the Manuscript:	Third Ventricular Ependymoma mimicking Foster Kennedy Syndrome- A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are multiple grammatical errors that need to be corrected before it is acceptable for publication. Please be consistent throughout the manuscript – is this a case of Foster Kennedy syndrome, or is it <u>mimicking</u> Foster Kennedy syndrome? (This patient did not have anosmia) I think more detailed imaging of the tumor would be of interest. This midline tumor has resulted in asymmetric symptoms and physical findings – the tumor is described to be compressing the R optic nerve, please include imaging evidence of this if possible. I would avoid trying to summarize the management of ependymoma as this is far beyond the scope of the case report. Specifically, complete resection is <u>sometimes</u> not possible (in many cases it is).	<i>We thank the reviewer for their comments. With regards to the comments:-</i> <i>1. The grammatical errors have been corrected as advised</i> <i>2. This is a case mimicking Foster Kennedy Syndrome and the text has been consistently altered throughout the text as advised.</i> <i>3. Additional Images have been included (Refer Figure 2 A -C). However a more detailed spectrum of images may not be possible due to space constraints.</i> <i>4. The section on the management of Ependymoma has been removed from the discussion as advised.</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	On follow up exam after surgery was there any improvement in the fundoscopic changes in the Right eye?	Post operatively, the right eye optic atrophy remained same.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		