



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_OR_13780
Title of the Manuscript:	Third Ventricular Ependymoma mimicking Foster Kennedy Syndrome- A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Good article. Some points I think they should consider: - Include a post operative MRI image - Include a fundoscopy image after surgery - Describe what adjuvant therapy was initiated and why - Need a formal English revision prior publishing.	We thank the reviewer for their comments With regards to the revision:- 1) Immediate post op, the patient has defaulted further follow up and the subsequent imaging planned for her. She came back with worsening headache and repeated imaging showed recurrence of the tumour. We have included selected MRI images in the text. However, we could not perform fundoscopy photography for the patient post op as she was unfit post operatively. 2) The patient was subjected to radiotherapy and this has been explained in the text 3) English revision has been performed
Optional/General comments		