



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_OR_5965
Title of the Manuscript:	Intraoperative complications of laser in situ keratomileusis in Yazd
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Basically, there was no new findings about LASIK flap complications. Incidence may vary according to institutes, surgeon's experiences, type of keratotome. And it is well known that very steep and flat corneas are the contributing factors of flap complication development. 2. Authors should describe that number of surgeons who performed LASIK, their level of experience, the type of keratotome such as the manufacturer name, model number, 3. Authors described in Conclusion that "acceptably small". Authors should provide reasons why this small incidence is acceptable.	We revised the manuscript according to the reviewer options. Changes highlighted with yellow colour.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		